arXiv:1302.3500v1 [math.GR] 13 Feb 2013

ENDS FOR SUBSEMIGROUPS OF FINITE INDEX

VESNA KILIBARDA, VICTOR MALTCEV, AND SIMON CRAIK

Abstract. In this paper we study ends of finitely generated semigroups. The ends we are working with are the ends of the undirected graphs of Cayley graphs of finitely generated semigroups. We prove that the number of ends is preserved for subsemigroups of finite Rees index, and prove the same result for finite Green index subsemigroups of cancellative semigroups.

1. Introduction

When studying finitely generated groups, it is quite useful to look at their Cayley graphs. For example, a Cayley graph of a finitely generated group is a tree if and only if the group is free. Another nice property comes from Bass-Serre theory (see [10] for details): if the fundamental domain of the action of a finitely generated group on its Cayley graph is a segment, then the group is an amalgamated free product.

More surprisingly, it is possible to describe some finitely generated groups by looking at specific geometric properties of their Cayley graphs – like the number of ends. It is a classical theorem of Hopf that the Cayley graph of a finitely generated group (with respect to any generating set) has 1, 2 or ∞-many ends. Moreover, Stallings proved that a finitely generated group has more than one end if and only if it is an amalgamated product of two finitely generated groups over a finite subgroup or an HNN-extension of a finitely generated group with a finite base subgroup [8]. Also, since number of ends is a quasi-isometric invariant, the number of ends is preserved by subgroups of finite index.

Bearing in mind such a nice picture for groups, David Jackson and one of the authors initiated the study of *semigroup* ends, see [7]. This paper is a continuation of that study.

There are two goals of this paper. The first one is to prove that the number of ends is preserved by subsemigroups of finite Rees index, and that the same holds for Green index when working with the class of finitely generated calcellative semigroups, see Sec. 4. The second goal of this paper is to discuss possible approaches to find the semigroup analogue of Stallings Theorem, see Sec. 5. Definitions are presented in Sec. 2 and some examples of calculating ends in semingroups in Sec. 3.

In a recent preprint [3] the notion of *directed* ends of semigroups is introduced. We proved that the number of *directed* ends is preserved by subsemigroups of finite Rees index, and that the same holds for Green index when working with the class of finitely generated left calcellative semigroups. An analogue of Hopf's Theorem was obtained for the class of finitely generated left calcellative semigroups. The ends we are working with in this paper are the ends of the undirected graphs of Cayley

---

Key words and phrases. Cayley graphs, ends, Rees index, Green index, cancellative semigroups.

graphs of finitely generated semigroups. This definition of ends suits semigroups, since directed paths in Cayley graphs of semigroups usually cannot be reverted. This warrants our explorations along the two goals explained above.

2. Definition of Ends

Let S be a finitely generated (later f.g. for short) semigroup. Take any finite generating set A for S. The *right Cayley graph* $\Gamma_r(S,A)$ of S with respect to A is a directed graph with the vertex set S and the set of edges $s \to^a sa$ for all $s \in S$ and $a \in A$. The *left Cayley graph* $\Gamma_l(S,A)$ is defined dually.

If there is an edge from $x \in S$ to $y \in S$ in $\Gamma_r(S,A)$, then we will write $x \to y$ or $y \leftarrow x$ (if needed, we also could specify the labels of the edges).

In the case when $x \to y$ or $y \to x$ we will say that x and y are *adjacent* and denote this by $x \sim y$.

As in the group case, we will define ends via rays in $\Gamma_r(S,A)$. By a *ray* in $\Gamma_r(S,A)$ we mean a sequence of distinct vertices $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, x_1, x_2, \ldots)$ from $\Gamma_r(S,A)$ such that $x_0 \sim x_1 \sim x_2 \sim \cdots$. We say that two rays $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$ in $\Gamma_r(S,A)$ are equivalent if there is another ray in $\Gamma_r(S,A)$ meeting each $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$ at infinitely many vertices. This indeed gives an equivalence relation on the set of all rays in $\Gamma_r(S,A)$. The *ends* of $\Gamma_r(S,A)$ are the correspondent equivalence classes. In effect, the number of ends in $\Gamma_r(S,A)$ is the number of ends of its underlying undirected graph. Denote the number of ends in $\Gamma_r(S,A)$ by $\mathbf{e}_r(S,A)$. Note that in the case when $\mathbf{e}_r(S,A)$ is infinite we will just denote this number by ∞.

For any finite set $F \subseteq S$ let $k_A(F)$ be the number of infinite connected components in $\Gamma_r(S,A) \setminus F$. It is easy to see that $k_A(F)$ is finite for all finite $F \subseteq S$. The following proposition shows that the number of ends in $\Gamma_r(S,A)$ we introduced above matches with the number of ends in $\Gamma_r(S,A)$ introduced in [7]:

Proposition 2.1. *$\mathbf{e}_r(S,A) = \sup\{k_A(F) : F$ is a finite subset of $S\}$.*

Proof. ($\geq$). Assume, with the aim of getting a contradiction, that $\mathbf{e}_r(S,A) < \sup\{k_A(F) : F \text{ is a finite subset of } S\}$. This means that $\mathbf{e}_r(S,A)$ is finite and so there exists $k \geq 1$ such that $\mathbf{e}_r(S,A) < k$ and $\Gamma_r(S,A) \setminus F$ contains k infinite connected components for some finite subset $F \subseteq S$. Take any infinite component C from $\Gamma_r(S,A) \setminus F$. There exists a finite subset X from C such that for every $c \in C$ there exists $s \in S$ with $c = xs$ for some $x \in X$. Therefore there are infinitely many finite (directed) paths from vertices from X to vertices from C. Then by König's Lemma we have that there is a ray in C. Clearly rays corresponding to different components from $\Gamma_r(S,A) \setminus F$ are non-equivalent. Therefore $\mathbf{e}_r(S,A) \geq k$, a contradiction.

($\leq$). Assume, with aim of getting a contradiction, that $\mathbf{e}_r(S,A) > \sup\{k_A(F) : F \text{ is a finite subset of } S\} = k$. First of all, there exists a finite subset $F \subseteq S$ such that $\Gamma_r(S,A) \setminus F$ contains exactly k infinite connected components.

Now, for every ray $\mathbf{x}$ in $\Gamma_r(S,A)$ all but finitely many its vertices lie in a single infinite connected component $C(\mathbf{x})$ from $\Gamma_r(S,A) \setminus F$.

Take any two non-equivalent rays $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$ in $\Gamma_r(S,A)$. We will prove that then $C(\mathbf{x}) \neq C(\mathbf{y})$. This will imply that $\mathbf{e}_r(S,A) \leq k$ and finish the proof. With an aim of obtaining a contradiction, assume that $C(\mathbf{x}) = C(\mathbf{y})$. Let $x_0 \sim x_1 \sim x_2 \sim \cdots$ and $y_0 \sim y_1 \sim y_2 \sim \cdots$ be the parts from $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$ which entirely lie in $C = C(\mathbf{x}) = C(\mathbf{y})$. Since C is connected, there exists a finite undirected path from x_0 to y_0.

By definition of k, if we take away a finite number of vertices from C, then the remainder splits into a finite set of vertices and one infinite connected component. Therefore if we extract from C all the vertices of the path from x_0 to y_0, then there exist a finite undirected path from x_i to y_j for some $i, j > 0$. Proceeding in this way, we obtain infinitely many disjoint finite undirected paths from vertices of $\mathbf{x}$ to vertices of $\mathbf{y}$. Hence there exists a ray in C meeting the vertices from $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$ infinitely often. Therefore $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$ are equivalent, a contradiction. $\square$

Note that the above proposition was proven in [7] in the context of components that contain a vertex which initiates unbounded paths (geodesics).

Proposition 2.1 gives us possibility to use the results from [7]. It was proven in [7] that if A and B are two (finite) generating sets for S, then the number of ends in $\Gamma_r(S, A)$ is equal to the number of ends in $\Gamma_r(S, B)$, so number of ends is an invariant of S. Denote this common number of ends by $\mathbf{e}_r(S)$. Analogously one defines $\mathbf{e}_l(S)$.

Note that we could prove that $\mathbf{e}_r(S, A) = \mathbf{e}_r(S, B)$ for two finite generating sets A and B for S by using the fact that the underlying undirected graphs of $\Gamma_r(S, A)$ and $\Gamma_r(S, B)$ are quasi-isometric metric spaces. Proposition 2.1 gives us a convenient means to calculate the actual number of ends.

For any f.g. group G, $\mathbf{e}_r(G)$ and $\mathbf{e}_l(G)$ are equal and coincide with the number of group ends defined by Hopf. However, it is not true in general that for a f.g. semigroup S, $\mathbf{e}_r(S) = \mathbf{e}_l(S)$. Moreover, in [7] there are provided examples of monoids S with $\mathbf{e}_r(S) = m$ and $\mathbf{e}_l(S) = n$ for any prescribed $1 \leq m, n \leq \infty$.

Obviously $\Gamma_l(S, A) = \Gamma_r(S^*, A)$ where S^* is the semigroup dual to S. Therefore, it suffices to study the right Cayley graphs. Hence, we will omit subscripts 'r' and 'l' in the notations for the Cayley graphs and numbers of directed or undirected ends, always meaning 'r', and use subscripts only when really needed. The following section contains several methods of calculating ends in semigroups.

3. Examples

The first example shows that it is possible sometimes just to draw a Cayley graph to figure out the number of ends.

Example 1. Let $M = \mathrm{Mon}\langle a, b : aba = b\rangle$. Then $\mathbf{e}_r(M) = \mathbf{e}_l(M) = 1$.

Proof. From the presentation, we have that $\Gamma_l(M, \{a, b\})$ is isomorphic to $\Gamma(M, \{a, b\})$, hence it suffices only to show that $\mathbf{e}(M) = 1$. Notice that $ab^2 = ababa = b^2a$. It is easy to see that the system $\{aba \to b, b^2a \to ab^2\}$ is noetherian and confluent. Using this, one draws the graph $\Gamma(M, \{a, b\})$ and sees that $\mathbf{e}(M) = 1$. $\square$

The next example illustrates an effective use of the rich arsenal of syntactic arguments to figure out the number of ends. We exhibit two such methods in the example below.

Example 2. Let $M = \mathrm{Mon}\langle a, b : a^2ba = b\rangle$. Then $\mathbf{e}_r(M) = \mathbf{e}_l(M) = 1$.

First method. Notice that $a^2b^2 = baba$ is a consequence of the defining relation:

$$a^2b^2 = a^2b \cdot a^2ba = a^2ba \cdot aba = baba.$$

It is routine to check that the system $\{a^2ba \to b, a^2b^2 \to baba\}$ is noetherian and confluent. Hence the normal forms for the elements of M can be described as $b^l(ab^{l_1}) \cdots (ab^{l_p})a^kb^\varepsilon$, where $l, p, k \geq 0$ and $\varepsilon \in \{0, 1\}$. Denote by B_n all the elements of M, whose normal form has length $\leq n$. We will prove that $\Gamma(M, \{a, b\}) \setminus B_n$ is connected. Take any normal form $b^l(ab^{l_1}) \cdots (ab^{l_p})a^k b^{\varepsilon}$ of length $\geq n+1$. The following vertices are adjacent in $\Gamma(M, \{a, b\}) \setminus B_n$:

$$\begin{aligned} b^l(ab^{l_1}) \cdots (ab^{l_p})a^k b^{\varepsilon} & \sim & b^l(ab^{l_1}) \cdots (ab^{l_p})a^k b \\ & \sim & b^l(ab^{l_1}) \cdots (ab^{l_p})a^{k+2} b \\ & \sim & b^l(ab^{l_1}) \cdots (ab^{l_p})a^{k+2}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that for all $n \geq 1$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} b^2 a^n & = & a^{4n} b \cdot b \\ baba^n & = & a^{4n-2} b \cdot b. \end{aligned}$$

Using these equations, we can conclude that $b^l(ab^{l_1}) \cdots (ab^{l_p})a^{k+2}$ is connected in $\Gamma(M, \{a, b\}) \setminus B_n$ to one of a^L, ba^L or aba^L for some $L \geq n+1$ and hence to one of a^{n+1}, ba^n or aba^n. Now we will prove that a^{n+1}, ba^n and aba^n are connected in $\Gamma(M, \{a, b\}) \setminus B_n$. First of all note that

$$ba^n \sim ba^{4n} \sim ba^{4n} b \sim ba^{4n} b^2 = b^3 a^n.$$

Analogously $b^3 a^n$ is connected in $\Gamma(M, \{a, b\}) \setminus B_n$ to $b^5 a^n$. Proceeding in this way, we obtain that there is a path in $\Gamma(M, \{a, b\}) \setminus B_n$ from ba^n to $b^{2n+1}a$. Now,note that $b^{2n+1}a \sim b^{2n+1} \sim b^{2n} \sim b^{2n}a = a^{4^n} b^{2n}$. Hence there is a path in $\Gamma(M, \{a, b\}) \setminus B_n$ from ba^n to a^{n+1}. Moreover, if we premultiply by a all the entries of this path, the path will stay in $\Gamma(M, \{a, b\}) \setminus B_n$ and we obtain a path from aba^n to a^{n+2}. Therefore a^{n+1}, ba^n and aba^n are in the same component of $\Gamma(M, \{a, b\}) \setminus B_n$ and so $\mathbf{e}(M) = 1$. We have found it quite difficult to use our rewriting system to prove that $\mathbf{e}_l(M) = 1$. Using the following method proving this will be straightforward.

Second method: The one-relator monoid M is cancellative and so by Adjan's Theorem, M embeds into $G = \mathrm{Gp}\langle a, b : a^2 ba = b \rangle$. Note that G is the HNN-extension of $\mathbb{Z} = \langle a \rangle$ with the isomorphism $\langle a^{-2} \rangle \to \langle a \rangle$. Also note that $ba = a^{-2}b$ and $ba^{-1} = a^2 b$. Hence every positive word on $\{a, b\}$, involving b, is equal in G to a word of the type $a^k b^n$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \geq 1$. Thus $M \subseteq \{a^k b^n : k \in \mathbb{Z}, n \geq 0\}$. By Britton's Lemma, $a^k b^n = a^p b^q$ if and only if $k = p$ and $n = q$. If $w \in M$, let $\|w\| = |k| + n$ where $w = a^k b^n$. Let $B_n = \{w : \|w\| \leq n\}$.

We need to prove that $\Gamma(M, \{a, b\}) \setminus B_n$ is connected. First notice that $b^2 a = ba^{-2} b = a^4 b^2$. Take any $a^p b^q \in M$ such that $|p| + q \geq n+1$. Then $a^p b^q$ is connected in $\Gamma(M, \{a, b\}) \setminus B_n$ to $a^p b^{2(|p|+q)}$, which is connected to $a^p b^{2(|p|+q)} a = a^p a^{4^{|p|+q}} b^{2(|p|+q)} \in \Gamma(M, \{a, b\}) \setminus B_n$. Therefore there exists an undirected path from $a^p b^q$ to a^{p+q} in $\Gamma(M, \{a, b\}) \setminus B_n$. Thus $\mathbf{e}(M) = 1$.

It is left to prove that $\Gamma_l(M, \{a, b\}) \setminus B_n$ is connected. Take any $a^p b^q \in M$ such that $|p| + q \geq n+1$. Then $a^p b^q$ is connected in $\Gamma_l(M, \{a, b\}) \setminus B_n$ to $ba^p b^q = a^{-2p} b^{q+1}$. Analogously $a^p b^q$ is connected in $\Gamma_l(M, \{a, b\}) \setminus B_n$ to $a^{(-2)^k p} b^{q+k}$ for all $k \geq 1$. From this it is obvious that $a^p b^q$ is connected in $\Gamma_l(M, \{a, b\}) \setminus B_n$ to b^{n+1}. Hence $\mathbf{e}_l(M) = 1$. $\square$

4. SUBSEMIGROUPS OF FINITE INDEX

The very first notion of index of a subsemigroup T in a semigroup S that appeared in the literature is the so-called *Rees index* – $|S \setminus T| + 1$, see [9]. Even though from the group theoretic point of view this notion of index might seem a bit artificial, from the semigroup theoretic perspective it is very natural for two reasons – the situation when a semigroup has lots of subsemigroups of finite Rees index appears very often, and secondly Rees index preserves the fundamental finiteness conditions such as finite generation and finite presentability, see [9].

Now we prove the semigroup analogue of the fact that subgroups of finite index preserve the number of ends:

Theorem 4.1. *Let S be a f.g. semigroup and T a subsemigroup of finite Rees index in S. Then $\mathbf{e}(S) = \mathbf{e}(T)$.*

Proof. Let A be a finite generating set for T and $U = S \setminus T$. For $t \in T$ denote by $|t|_A$ the minimal possible length of a product of elements from A which equals t.

Obviously the number of ends in $\Gamma(S, A \cup U)$ is the same as in $\Gamma(S, A \cup U) \setminus U$, as U is finite. Now, $\Gamma(T, A)$ is a subgraph of $\Gamma(S, A \cup U) \setminus U$ with all the same vertices but with fewer edges, namely those labelled by U. Adding edges but no extra vertices to a graph can only decrease the number of ends and so $\mathbf{e}(T) \geq \mathbf{e}(S)$.

With the aim of getting a contradiction, assume that $\mathbf{e}(T) > \mathbf{e}(S)$. Define

$$B = A \cup \{au \in T : a \in A,\ u \in U\}.$$

Then B is a (finite) generating set for T. Since $\mathbf{e}(T) > \mathbf{e}(S)$, $\mathbf{e}(S)$ is finite and there exists a finite subset $F \subseteq T$ such that $\Gamma(S, B \cup U) \setminus (F \cup U)$ has $\mathbf{e}(S)$ infinite components and $\Gamma(T, B) \setminus F$ has $> \mathbf{e}(S)$ infinite components. Moreover, there are infinitely many pairwise disjoint edges $w \to^u wu$, where w and wu lie in different components of $\Gamma(T, B) \setminus F$, $u \in U$. In particular, there exists a product $w = a_1 \cdots a_n \in T$ over A and $u \in U$ such that:

- w and wu are both from $T \setminus F$, but lie in different components of $\Gamma(T, B) \setminus F$;
- $|w|_A = n$;
- $|wu|_A \geq r + l + 1$, where $r = \max\{|f|_A : f \in F \cap T\}$ and $l = \max\{|au|_A : au \in T, a \in A, u \in U\}$.

We have $a_1 \cdots a_n u \in T$ and so there exists the largest number $i \leq n$ such that $a_i \cdots a_n u \in T$. Then $u' = a_{i+1} \cdots a_n u \notin T$ and so $u' \in U$. Notice that

$$r + 1 + l \leq |a_1 \cdots a_n u|_A = |a_1 \cdots a_i u'|_A = |a_1 \cdots a_{i-1} \cdot a_i u'|_A \leq i - 1 + l$$

and so $i - 1 \geq r + 1$. Hence, since $|a_1 \cdots a_{i-1}|_A = i - 1$, we obtain that $a_1 \cdots a_{i-1} \in T \setminus F$.

Now, there is a path in $\Gamma(T, B) \setminus F$ from w to $a_1 \cdots a_{i-1}$. But $a_1 \cdots a_{i-1}$ is adjacent in $\Gamma(T, B) \setminus F$ to $a_1 \cdots a_{i-1} \cdot a_i u' = wu$, a contradiction. □

Rees index does not generalize the group index and perhaps is not so successful in respect of generalizing the group results – in the sense that we would like to have the group results to be refinements of the semigroup ones. There is a much better notion of index of a subsemigroup T in a semigroup S – the *Green index*, recently proposed in [5], which generalizes both Rees and group indices. To define it we need the following relations on S. For $x, y \in S$ we will say that $x\mathcal{R}^T y$ if $xT^1 = yT^1$, and $x\mathcal{L}^T y$ if $T^1x = T^1y$. Then $\mathcal{R}^T$ and $\mathcal{L}^T$ are equivalence relations on S and so $\mathcal{H}^T = \mathcal{R}^T \cap \mathcal{L}^T$ is an equivalence relation on S. The Green index of T in S is defined to be $|(S \setminus T)/\mathcal{H}^T| + 1$. Note that in the case when T is a subgroup of a group S, T has finite group index if and only if it has finite Green index (even though they may differ). Moreover, subsemigroups of finite Green index preserve finiteness and finite generation (the question of finite presentability is still open). For more details about Green index see [1, 4, 5]. Analog of Theorem 4.1 for Green index does not hold for arbitrary semigroups, as can be seen from the following example:

Example 3. Let $\phi : \mathrm{FG}(a,b) \to \mathbb{Z}$ be the homomorphism from the free group on $\{a,b\}$ onto $\mathbb{Z} = \langle c\rangle$ by lifting $a \mapsto c$ and $b \mapsto c$. Let S be the Clifford monoid derived from $\phi : \mathrm{FG}(a,b) \to \mathbb{Z}$ and $T = \mathrm{FG}(a,b)$. Then T has Green index 2 in S, $\mathbf{e}(S) = 1$ and $\mathbf{e}(T) = \infty$.

Proof. That T has Green index 2 in S is obvious. Let $A = \{a^{\pm 1}, b^{\pm 1}, 1_{\mathbb{Z}}\}$ and F be a finite subset of S. Let $n \geq 1$ be such that F is contained in the ball B around $1 \in S$ of radius n. We will show that $\Gamma(S,A) \setminus B$ is connected. First of all, notice that the elements of $[n, +\infty) \subseteq \Gamma(S,A) \setminus B$ are connected and the same is true for $(-\infty, -n] \subseteq \Gamma(S,A) \setminus B$. Take any $w \in \mathrm{FG}(a,b) \setminus B$. Without loss of generality assume that the normal form for w ends with a power of a. Then there exists $M > 1$ such that wb^M has exponent-sum $> n$. Now, there is a path in $\Gamma(S,A) \setminus B$ from w to wb^M, which is adjacent to $\exp(wb^M) \in \Gamma(S,A) \setminus B$. Analogously, there is a path (in $\Gamma(S,A) \setminus B$) from w to an element from $(-\infty, -n]$. Hence $\mathbf{e}(S) = 1$. □

If we still want to generalize Theorem 4.1, which classes of semigroups should we consider next? The semigroups S and T from Example 3 are inverse, so the inverse semigroups are not a good candidate. As with *directed* ends [3] the class of cancellative semigroups is the right one:

Theorem 4.2. *Let S be a cancellative f.g. semigroup and T a subsemigroup of finite Green index in S. Then $\mathbf{e}(S) = \mathbf{e}(T)$.*

Proof. Since S is cancellative, if it has an idempotent, it must be the identity of S. Hence, let us agree that if S does have an identity, then it lies in T. In view of Theorem 4.1 this will not affect the claim.

Let A be a generating set for T and C be a finite set of representatives of the $\mathcal{H}^T$-classes from $S \setminus T$. Then $S = \langle A \cup C\rangle$. Prior to proceeding with the proof notice that for any $c \in C$, some power of c is in T. Indeed, assume that every power of c is in $S \setminus T$. Then there exist $k < l$ such that $c^k \mathcal{H}^T c^l$ and so $c^l = c^k t$ for some $t \in T^1$. If $t \in T$, then by cancellativity $c^{l-k} = t \in T$, a contradiction. If $t = 1$, then S has identity and so again $c^{l-k} = 1 \in T$. Therefore for any $c \in C$ there exists a minimal $n(c) > 1$ such that $c^{n(c)} \in T$.

Consider the graph $\Gamma(S, A \cup C)$. Let F be any finite subset of S. For every element $s \in S \setminus (T \cup FC)$ there exists $t \in T$ and $c \in C$ such that $s = tc$. Then $t \in T \setminus F$ and so there is an arc in $\Gamma(S, A \cup C)$ from an element t to s. Therefore the number of infinite components in $\Gamma(S, A \cup C) \setminus F$ is at most the number of infinite components in $\Gamma(T,A) \setminus F$. This proves that $\mathbf{e}(S) \leq \mathbf{e}(T)$.

With the aim of getting a contradiction, assume that $\mathbf{e}(T) > \mathbf{e}(S)$. First, define a new generating set B for T, with respect to which we will build the Cayley graph of T. we do the following. The set B will consist of elements of four types

- Elements of the first type are all elements from A plus all the elements $c^{n(c)}$.
- Elements of the second type are defined this way: for each $c \in C$, if there exists at least one $t \in T$ such that $ct \in T$, then denote one of such elements from T by $t(c)$ and let $ct(c)$ be an element of the second type.

- Elements of the third type are defined as follows: if for some $c \in C$ and $d \in A \cup C$ we have $cd \in T$ then we set cd to be an element of the third type; or if $cd = tc'$ for some $t \in T$ and $c' \in C$, then we let t to be an element of the third type.
- Finally, if for some $c', c \in C$ and $d \in (A \cup C)^1$ we have $c'dc^{n(c)-1} \in T$ then declare $c'dc^{n(c)-1}$ to be an element of the fourth type; if $c'dc^{n(c)-1} \in S \setminus T$ and $c'dc^{n(c)-1} = tc''$, then set t to be an element of the fourth type.

Since $A \subseteq B$, we have that B is a generating set for T. Moreover, since S is cancellative, every element $s \in S$ has only finitely many factorizations of the type $s = tc$ or $s = ct$ for some $t \in T$ and $c \in C$. Hence B is actually a *finite* generating set. Since $\mathbf{e}(T) > \mathbf{e}(S)$, there exists a finite set $F \subseteq S$ such that $\Gamma(S, B \cup C) \setminus F$ has exactly $\mathbf{e}(S)$ infinite components, but $\Gamma(T, B) \setminus F$ has $> \mathbf{e}(S)$ infinite components. Furthermore, there exist infinitely many disjoint paths in $\Gamma(S, B \cup C) \setminus F$ connecting elements from different components in $\Gamma(T, B) \setminus F$. Denote all these paths by Π. It is possible that some of the paths from Π are just single edges. Consider two cases depending on whether there are finitely many of these paths or not.

Case I: Π contains infinitely many paths which are single edges.

In this case there exist two different infinite components U and V from $\Gamma(T, B) \setminus F$ such that there are infinitely many disjoint edges in $\Gamma(S, B \cup C) \setminus F$ connecting elements from U and V. Every such edge must be labelled by an element from C, so without loss of generality we may assume that there are infinitely many disjoint edges $u \to^c uc$, for some (fixed) $c \in C$,where u is from U and uc from V. Let U' be the infinite set of such elements u from U.

If $t(c)$ exists, then $ct(c) \in B$ is a generator and, in particular, there is an edge in $\Gamma(T, B)$ from u to $uct(c)$ for all $u \in U'$. In fact, since S is cancellative, for all but finitely many $u \in U'$, $uct(c) \in T \setminus F$ and so $uct(c) \in U$. On the other hand, $t(c)$ is an a priori fixed element from T, which corresponds to a fixed-length word over A. Therefore, among the previously defined elements $u \in U'$ there are only finitely many such that the corresponding path from uc to $uc \cdot t(c)$, goes through F. Thus there exists $u \in U'$ such that there is a path in $\Gamma(T, B)$ between $uct(c) \in U$ and $uc \in V$, omitting vertices from F, a contradiction.

Suppose, alternatively, that $t(c)$ does not exist. This means that $ct \in S \setminus T$ for all $t \in T$. Take any $t \in T$ and consider the elements $ct, ct^2, ct^3, \ldots$. Some of them must be in the same $\mathcal{H}^T$-class and so there exist $p, q \geq 1$ and $x \in T$ such that $ct^p = ct^{p+q}x$. Hence $t = t^{q+1}x$. Then for any $s \in S$ we have $ts = t^{q+1}xs$ and so $s = t^q x \cdot s$. Therefore $t^q x$ is a left identity in S and in particular an idempotent. Thus S has identity 1 and $t^q x = 1$. Then also $t = t^q xt$, which yields $1 = t^{q-1}x \cdot t$. Thus t is invertible and so T is a group. Take now any $u \in U'$. Then $c = u^{-1} \cdot uc \in T$, a contradiction.

Case II: Π contains only finitely many paths which are single edges.

Let P be the finite set of vertices of all such paths from from Π which are single edges.

Again, there exist two different infinite components U and V from $\Gamma(T, B) \setminus F$ such that there are infinitely many disjoint paths in $\Gamma(S, B \cup C) \setminus F$ connecting elements from U and V, and such that all the intermediate vertices between the start and end point of these paths lie in $S \setminus T$. That is, there are infinitely many disjoint paths $(u, s_0, \dots, s_k, v)$ from Π, labelled by the elements of $B \cup C$, such that $u \in U$, $v \in V$ and $s_0, s_1, \dots, s_k \in S \setminus T$. Moreover, we can assume that the distance between any two paths from Π is $> 2N$, where $N = \max\{|b|_A : b \in B\}$. Take every path π from Π and replace every edge labelled by $b \in B$ occurring in π by the corresponding walk labelled by elements from A obtained by splitting b into the shortest possible product over A. This results in a new walk with the same endpoints as π, which we can transform to a path $\overline{\pi}$ with the same endpoints as π. First of all, for distinct π_1 and π_2 from Π, the correspondent paths $\overline{\pi_1}$ and $\overline{\pi_2}$ are disjoint. Secondly, there can be only finitely many paths $\pi \in \Pi$ such that $\overline{\pi}$ goes through F. Thus, in addition to the old assumptions about Π, we may now assume that every path $\pi \in \Pi$ is labelled only by elements from $A \cup C$.

Therefore, there exist infinitely many paths $(u, s_0, \dots, s_k, v) \in \Pi$, labelled by elements from $A \cup C$, satisfying the following three conditions:

1. $u \in U$ and $v \in V$ are at distance > 1 from $F \cup P$ in $\Gamma(S, B \cup C)$;
2. as soon as $s_i = x_i c_i$ (with $x_i \in T$ and $c_i \in C$), then x_i is also at distance > 1 from $F \cup P$ in $\Gamma(S, B \cup C)$;
3. $s_0, \dots, s_k \in S \setminus (T \cup F)$.

Denote the set of all these paths by Π'.

Take any path $(u, s_0, \dots, s_k, v)$ from Π'. Now we will define a rewriting procedure, which, having the path $(u, s_0, \dots, s_k, v)$ as an input, will produce another path from Π' with length shorter by 1 than $(u, s_0, \dots, s_k, v)$, whenever $k > 0$.

First step in this procedure is to check the nature of the adjacency between u and s_0. If the connection is of the type $u \to s_0$, we do nothing.

If the connection is $u \leftarrow s_0$, we proceed as follows. Assume that u and s_0 are connected via an edge $u \leftarrow^d s_0 = x_0 c_0$ for some $d \in A \cup C$, where $x_0 \in T$ and $c_0 \in C$. We have $x_0 c_0 d = u$.

- If $c_0 d \in T$, then $c_0 d$ is a generator of the third type and so x_0 is adjacent in $\Gamma(T, B)$ to u. Moreover, $x_0 \in T \setminus F$ and so $x_0 \in U$.
- If $c_0 d \in S \setminus T$, then $c_0 d = tc$ for some $t \in T$ and $c \in C$ and so $t \in B$ (t is of the third type). Then $x_0 \in T \setminus F$ is adjacent in $\Gamma(T, B)$ to $x_0 t$. Since x_0 is at distance > 1 from F, $x_0 t \in T \setminus F$. But x_0 is also at distance > 1 from P and so $x_0 t \cdot c = u \in U$ means that $x_0 t \in U$. Hence $x_0 \in U$.

In either one of these two cases $x_0 \in U$ and so we can replace the path $(u, s_0, \dots, s_k, v)$ with the path $(x_0, s_0, \dots, s_k, v)$, changing the direction of the first connection, that one now being $x_0 \to^{c_0} s_0$. Note that $(x_0, s_0, \dots, s_k, v) \in \Pi'$.

Therefore, after the first step of the procedure we obtained a path $(u', s_0, \dots, s_k, v) \in \Pi'$ such that the first connection is an edge $u' \to^c s_0 = u'c$ for some $c \in A \cup C$ and $u' \in U$. Obviously, then $c \in C$.

As noted before, we consider the situation when $k > 0$. We have two cases for possible connection between s_0 and s_1, as above:

Case 1. The connection between s_0 and s_1 is an edge $s_0 \to^d s_1$ for some $d \in A \cup C$. We have $u'cd = s_1$. Since $s_1 \in S \setminus T$ and $u' \in T$, we have that $cd \in S \setminus T$. Then $cd = tc'$ for some $t \in T$ and $c' \in C$. Then $t \in B$ (third type) and so u' is adjacent to $u't$. Note that $u't \in T$ and since u' is at distance > 1 from F we have $u't \notin F$. Hence $u't \in U$. But $s_1 = u't \cdot c'$ and so, by condition (2), $u't$ is at distance > 1 from $F \cup P$. Now we can replace the path $(u', s_0, \dots, s_k, v)$ with the shorter path $(u't, s_1, \dots, s_k, v) \in \Pi'$ with the first connection being $u't \to^{c'} s_1$.

Case 2. The connection between s_0 and s_1 is an edge

$$x_1c_1 = s_1 \to^d s_0 = u'c$$

for some $d \in A \cup C$, $x_1 \in T$ and $c_1 \in C$. Note that x_1 is at distance > 1 from $F \cup P$ and so $x_1 \in T \setminus F$. We have $x_1c_1dc^{n(c)-1} = u'c^{n(c)}$. Since $c^{n(c)} \in B$ we have that u' is adjacent to $u'c^{n(c)}$. But we also have that u' is at distance > 1 from F. Thus $u'c^{n(c)} \in T \setminus F$ and so $u'c^{n(c)} \in U$.

- If $c_1dc^{n(c)-1} \in T$, then $c_1dc^{n(c)-1} \in B$ (fourth type) and so x_1 is adjacent to $u'c^{n(c)} \in U$ by an edge labelled by an element from B, which yields $x_1 \in U$.
- If $c_1dc^{n(c)-1} \in S \setminus T$, then $c_1dc^{n(c)-1} = tc'$ for some $t \in T$ and $c' \in C$. Then $t \in B$ (fourth type) and $x_1t \cdot c' = u'c^{n(c)} \in U$. Then, since x_1 is a distance > 1 from P, we have that $x_1t \in U$. Thus $x_1 \in U$.

In either one of the above choices regarding $c_1dc^{n(c)-1}$, $x_1 \in U$ and the path $(u', s_0, \dots, s_k, v)$ can be replaced with the shorter path $(x_1, s_1, \dots, s_k, v) \in \Pi'$ with the first connection being $x_1 \to^{c_1} s_1$.

This completes one application of the rewriting procedure.

Since Π' is non-empty (even infinite), starting with any path from Π', a successive application of the procedure yields a path $(u, s, v) \in \Pi'$. Moreover, by the same argument we used before, we may assume that there are two connections $u \to^c s$ and $v \to^{c'} s$. Therefore, we have $uc(c')^{n(c')-1} = v(c')^{n(c')}$. Since $(c')^{n(c')} \in B$, the vertex $v(c')^{n(c')}$ is adjacent to v and so $v(c')^{n(c')} \in V$. If $c(c')^{n(c')-1} \in T$, then $c(c')^{n(c')-1} \in B$ (fourth type) and so

$$u \sim uc(c')^{n(c')-1} = v(c')^{n(c')} \sim v$$

in $\Gamma(T, B)$, a contradiction. If $c(c')^{n(c')-1} \in S \setminus T$, then $c(c')^{n(c')-1} = tc''$ for some $t \in B$ (fourth type) and $c'' \in C$. But $utc'' = v(c')^{n(c')} \in V$ and so we find the edge $ut \to^{c''} v(c')^{n(c')}$ from U to V. Hence u is at distance ≤ 1 from P, a contradiction.

With contradiction obtained in both cases, I and II, the proof of the theorem is complete. $\square$

5. Further Discussion and Open Questions

As we noted above, even for f.g. inverse semigroups the number of ends may be any prescribed natural number. Also, it was proven in [3] that the number of *directed* ends of f.g. left cancellative semigroups is always 1, 2 or ∞. This naturally prompts one to raise the following questions:

Question 5.1. Let S be a f.g. cancellative semigroup.

(1) Is it true that $\mathbf{e}(S) \in \{1, 2, \infty\}$?
(2) Is it true that $\mathbf{e}(S) = 2$ if and only if S is a group containing a subgroup of finite index isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$?

Perhaps, it would make sense first to try to resolve Question 5.1 for cancellative semigroups S which satisfy the *Ore's condition*, i.e. such that for all $a, b \in S$ one has $aS \cap bS \neq \varnothing$, see [2]. Also, one can try to resolve Question 5.1 for the class of group-embeddable semigroups.

The question that continues to puzzle us is a possible semigroup analogues of Stallings Theorem. Perhaps one would also like to resolve it working in the class of cancellative semigroups:

Question 5.2. Find a characterization when a f.g. cancellative semigroup S has > 1 ends.

Any such characterization should also cover the group case. The 'classical' answer to Question 5.2 for groups is Stallings Theorem, involving the notions of amalgamated products and HNN-extensions with finite base subgroups. Recall that finite cancellative semigroups are actually groups and so it is indeed possible to take amalgamated free product of two cancellative semigroups amalgamating a finite group, see [6]. Trying to answer Question 5.2 by a direct analogue of Stallings Theorem will not work. The reason is that there exists an example of a f.g. cancellative monoid with infinitely many ends which is a union of identity and two free semigroups of rank 2:

Example 4. The monoid

$$\begin{aligned} M = \mathrm{Mon}\langle a, b, c, d \quad : \quad & ac = b^2,\ ad = ba,\ bc = ab,\ bd = a^2 \\ & ca = d^2,\ cb = dc,\ da = cd,\ db = c^2 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

is cancellative and is a union of $\{1\}$ and two free semigroups $\langle a, b\rangle$ and $\langle c, d\rangle$. Furthermore, $\mathbf{e}_r(M) = \mathbf{e}_l(M) = \infty$.

Proof. From the presentation it immediately follows that M is isomorphic to its dual M^*. Furthermore, it is straightforward to check that the rewriting system

$$\{ac \to b^2, ad \to ba, bc \to ab, bd \to a^2, ca \to d^2, cb \to dc, da \to cd, db \to c^2\}$$

is confluent and noetherian. Hence the normal forms for M are the words from $\{a, b\}^+$, from $\{c, d\}^+$ and 1. In particular, M is a union of two free semigroups of rank 2 and the identity 1.

We will prove now that M is cancellative. By symmetry, it suffices to prove that $ua = va$ implies $u = v$, i.e. that M is right cancellative.

So, let $ua = va$ for some $u, v \in M$. If one of u and v is 1, then the other is also 1; hence we may assume that $u, v \in \{a, b\}^+ \cup \{c, d\}^+$. Notice that if $w \in \{a, b\}^+$, then $wa \in \{a, b\}^+$; and if $w \in \{c, d\}^+$, then $wa \in \{c, d\}^+$. Therefore either $u, v \in \{a, b\}^+$, or $u, v \in \{c, d\}^+$. In the first case we immediately have $u = v$. So let $u, v \in \{c, d\}^+$. Then we have four possible cases:

Case 1. $u = u'c$ and $v = v'c$ where $u', v' \in \{c, d\}^+$. Then $u'd^2 = ua = va = v'd^2$ and so $u' = v'$. Hence $u = v$.

Case 2. $u = u'c$ and $v = v'd$ where $u', v' \in \{c, d\}^+$. Then $u'd^2 = ua = va = v'cd$ and so $u'd = v'c$, a contradiction.

Case 3. $u = u'd$ and $v = v'c$ where $u', v' \in \{c, d\}^+$. Then $u'cd = ua = va = v'd^2$ and so $u'c = v'd$, a contradiction.

Case 4. $u = u'd$ and $v = v'd$ where $u', v' \in \{c, d\}^+$. Then $u'cd = ua = va = v'cd$ and so $u' = v'$. Hence $u = v$.

Thus M is right cancellative, and by duality is actually cancellative. Let $B_n = \{a, b\}^{\leq n} \cup \{c, d\}^{\leq n} \cup \{1\}$. For any distinct $u, v \in \{a, b\}^n$, the elements ua and va are in $\Gamma(M, \{a, b, c, d\}) \setminus B_n$ but there is no undirected path from ua to va in $\Gamma(M, \{a, b, c, d\}) \setminus B_n$. Hence $\mathbf{e}_r(M) = \infty$ and by duality $\mathbf{e}_l(M) = \infty$. $\square$

The monoid M from Example 4 is not an amalgam of two cancellative semigroups amalgamating a finite group – for otherwise it would be a free product of two semigroups. Any 'reasonable' version of HNN-extensions of cancellative semigroups using presentations would also not present M as an HNN-extension of some cancellative monoid with the base subgroup $\{1\}$.

The other way of treating amalgams and HNN-extensions for groups is via the so-called *bipolar structures*, see [8]. This might be the key for answering Question 5.2, but every version of generalizing of bipolar structures for cancellative semigroups we tried failed on Example 4.

Another way to state Stallings Theorem for groups is by means of Bass-Serre theory: a f.g. group G has > 1 ends if and only if there exists an action of G without inversion of the edges on a tree with finite edge stabilizers and without a globally fixed point. This might be the right idea to resolve Question 5.2. The reason that we did not further pursue this idea is the fact that the cancellative semigroup $(\mathbb{N}, +)$ acts on the ray-tree $\mathbb{N}$ in the natural way with finite edge stabilizers and without globally fixed points, but still has 1 end. Perhaps, one first needs to develop Bass-Serre theory for cancellative semigroups, akin to such theory for inverse semigroups created by Yamamura [12].

We should also mention a result of Specker [11] giving number of ends for a f.g. group G via first cohomology $H^1(G, \mathbb{Z}_2 G)$: $\mathbf{e}(G) = 1 + \dim H^1(G, \mathbb{Z}_2 G)$. Regrettably, if, in this formula, we replace G by any cancellative semigroup, the result would no longer hold. It fails on $S = (\mathbb{N}, +)$, since $\mathbf{e}(S) = 1$ and $\dim H^1(S, \mathbb{Z}_2 S) = 1$. Still, cohomologies might be a useful tool in resolving Question 5.2.

Acknowledgments

Most of the results from this paper were proven by the authors in 2009 during the research visit of the first author and research studentship of the second and third authors at the Mathematical Institute, University of St Andrews. We would like to thank Nik Ruškuc for inviting the first author to St Andrews and for some discussions about the results from this paper. We thank Alan Cain and Stuart Margolis for useful comments about the earlier version of this work.

References

[1] A. J. Cain, R. D. Gray and N. Ruškuc, Green index in semigroups: generators, presentations, and automatic structures, *Semigroup Forum* **85** (2012) 448–476.

[2] A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, The Algebraic Theory of Semigroups (Vol. I), *Mathematical Surveys, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I.* (1961).

[3] S. Craik, R. Gray, V. Kilibarda, J. D. Mitchell, N. Ruškuc, Ends of semigroups, preprint.

[4] R. D. Gray, V. Maltcev, J. D. Mitchell and N. Ruškuc, Ideals, finiteness conditions and Green index for subsemigroups, to appear in *Glasgow Math. Journal.*

[5] R. D. Gray and N. Ruškuc, Green index and finiteness conditions for semigroups, *J. Algebra* **320** (2008), no. 8, 3145–3164.

[6] J. M. Howie, An embedding theorem with amalgamation for cancellative semigroups, *Proc. Glasgow Math. Assoc.* **6** (1963) 19–26.

[7] D. A. Jackson and V. Kilibarda, Ends for monoids and semigroups, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* **87** (2009), 101–127.

[8] R. C. Lyndon and P. E. Schupp, Combinatorial Group Theory, *Springer-Verlag* (1977).

[9] N. Ruškuc, On large subsemigroups and finiteness conditions of semigroups, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **76** (1998), no. 2, 383–405.

[10] J. P. Serre, Trees, *Corrected 2nd printing of the 1980 English translation. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin* (2003).

[11] E. Specker, Die erste Cohomologiegruppe von Überlagerungen und Homotopie-Eigenschaften dreidimensionaler Mannigfaltigkeiten, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **23** (1949) 303–333.

[12] A. Yamamura, A class of inverse monoids acting on ordered forests, *J. Algebra* **281** (2004), no. 1, 15–67.

Department of Mathematics, Indiana University Northwest, Gary, IN 46408, USA
E-mail address: `vkilibar@iun.edu`

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Sultan Qaboos University, Al-Khodh 123, Muscat, Sultanate of OMAN
E-mail address: `victor.maltcev@gmail.com`

INTO, University of East Anglia, London, UK
E-mail address: `simoncraik@gmail.com`